

**Delta University Scientific Journal** Journal home page: https://dusj.journals.ekb.eg



## The Relation between Different body weights and Center of Pressure Displacement in adolescents during quiet standing: a review

### Amira H. Mohammed<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed N. Al Khouli<sup>1</sup>, Dina Salah Noaman<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physical Therapy for Pediatrics and its Surgeries, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Delta University for Science and Technology, Gamasa, Egypt.

\*Correspondence: Dina Salah Noaman, Department of Physical Therapy for Pediatrics and its Surgeries, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Delta University for Science and Technology, Gamasa, Egypt. E-mail:dinas2441@gmail.com, Tel: 01090261291.

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Obesity, overweight, and underweight conditions, particularly during adolescence, present significant health challenges. While obesity is widely recognized as a public health crisis, underweight individuals also face health risks. Given the critical role of postural stability in maintaining equilibrium and preventing falls. **Purpose:** This review aimed to illustrate the relation between different body weights and center of pressure deviations throughout the direction of displacement and sway length and average velocity and oscillatory swing. **Methods:** The published researches that studied the relation between body weight and center of pressure since 1990 were assessed according to CONSORT checklist. Fair to good quality researches were included, while low quality researches were excluded.

**Results:** The reviewed studies consistently demonstrate a significant association between increased body weight and impaired postural stability. Obese individuals exhibited larger sway parameters, including increased COP displacement and velocity, compared to normal-weight individuals. This impairment was particularly evident in conditions requiring greater postural control, such as during quiet standing with eyes closed or on unstable surfaces. **Conclusion:** Obesity has been shown to compromise postural stability, characterized by increased sway and decreased balance control. Addressing weight-related issues is essential for improving balance and reducing fall risk, particularly in obese individuals.

Keywords: obesity, underweight, postural stability, center of pressure, sway.

#### 1. Introduction

Adolescent obesity is classified according to the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria for ages 5-19 years: obese as a BMI-for-age percentile  $\geq$ 95th, and overweight as a body mass index (BMI)-for-age percentile between the 85th and 95th percentiles (**Lob-Corzilius, 2007**). Underweight can be defined in several ways. It may refer to

low weight for height, defined as a BMI <18.5, or a weight that is 15-20% below the typical weight for a person's age (**WHO**, **1995**).

Healthy and normal weight in adolescents is an urgent public concern reflecting socio-economic and political conditions, since it determines the future of the country, its intellectual, economic, scientific potentials. Age-and-sex growth charts developed by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) were used to categorize each adolescent normal weight into (BMI  $\geq$ 5th and <85th percentile), (NCHS\CDC, 2014).

World Health Organization defines underweight as a BMI below the 5<sup>th</sup> percentile for age and gender CDC growth chart. Thinness, as per WHO-BMI for age criteria is the condition where the Z scores for BMI for age fall below 2 standard deviations (SD) of normal values (**Khasnutdinova and Grjibovski, 2010**).

Postural control is any action or reaction to maintain, achieve or restore the balance in any static or dynamic posture. While Balance is the state of equilibrium whereby the summation of forces, acting on the body is zero (**Pollock et al., 2000**). Complex interactions of the sensory, motor, vestibular, and visual systems aiming to balance maintenance or movements or center of gravity displacements, which also trigger the balance correction causing the body weight distribution to both lower extremities in a way that does not cause fall (**Taube et al., 2006**).

Center of gravity (COG) is an important component during evaluating balance and posture stability. It is often measured with center of pressure (COP) because COG is hardly to be quantified. According to Lafage et al., (2008) the COG located at the midpoint of the base of support (BOS) of an individual ideal posture. COP excursion and velocity are indicators of control COG and are key factors for identifying proper posture and balance keeping up. COP excursion is defined by **Pineda et al., (2020)** as displacement in the anterior/posterior and medial/lateral directions within the base of support (perimeter around the feet).

Posturographic analysis is an equipment to quantitatively measure body sways during quiet erect posture or during the performance of different tasks in the standing position (**Şimşek and Şimşek, 2020**). Commonly divided into static posturographic analysis, when the individual is quiet erect posture during the testing procedure, and dynamic postrugraphic analysis, when a response to a disturbance applied on the individual during testing procedure. The most common posturographic measure used in the assessment of postural control is the COP. The COP is the point of application of the resultant from the vertical force's action on the support's surface. The equipment most often used to evaluate the COP is the force plate (**Freitas et al, 2005**).

Static posturography is one of these methods, which contributes to the assessment of postural stability in an accurate and objective way. This method uses a platform that registers the force of the feet pressure and moment of this pressure force for the standing person during the testing procedure. Based on the reading, the computer software of the platform calculates the center of pressure (COP) coordination, when the person is standing freely (Samson and Crowe, 1996; Carpenter et al., 2001).

#### 2. Material and methods

#### 2.1. Design of the study

Narrative review

#### **2.2 Participants**

The published researches that studied the relation between body weight and center of pressure since 1990 were assessed according to CONSORT checklist (APPINDIX I). Fair to good quality researches were included, while low quality researches were excluded.

#### 2.3 Materials and methods

The reviewed literature in this article were originated from google scholar and bumped and Elsevier database. Twenty three research paper were illustrated with detailed explanation and analyzed as represented in table (1). Further more research papers were deliberated in discussion section.

#### Discussion

A positive correlation between postural instability and BMI was reported by **Greve et al.**, (2007) who observed greater shifts in both lateral and anteroposterior directions by obese subjects in order to maintain stability. Increased Overall Body Weight leading to greater AP and ML shifts during stance.

There are at least two reasons why postural stability is influenced by obesity. The first reason is related to the contribution made by an altered body geometry in obese individuals. In the present study, pelvic anterior tilt was significantly higher in the obese group. The degree of pelvic tilt is associated with lumbar posture, because the lumbar spine is connected to the pelvis and an increased anterior pelvic tilt can lead to excessive lumbar extension **Levine and Whittle (1996)**. The increased anterior pelvic tilt in obese individuals might be caused by an alteration of body geometry due to increased abdominal fat.

**Onyemaechi et al.**, (2016) reported that obese individuals had a significantly higher mean lumbar lordosis angle. To demonstrate the mechanism whereby upright standing balance is achieved, the human body is often compared with an inverted pendulum model **Gage et al.**, (2004), and because anterior tilts increased by adipose tissue accumulation in the abdominal area, body COG is displaced forward at the ankle joint **Corbeil et al.**, (2001) **and Berrigan et al.**, (2006), which means that obese individuals need to adopt a larger corrective ankle torque in order to counter a greater gravitational torque. **Corbeil et al.**, (2001) also suggested that obese individuals with abnormal amounts of abdominal body fat may be at greater risk of falling than normal-weight individuals.

The second reason of the relationship between postural stability and increased body weight is the contribution made by foot mechanoreceptors to balance control. Several studies reported that obese individuals have a larger plantar contact area and greater mean pressure values (**Birtane and Tuna, 2004; Gravante et al., 2003**). For example, **Hills et al., (2001**) showed significantly greater pressure in the heels, midfoot, and metatarsal head in obese individuals. These results are important because desensitization of mechanoreceptor.

Generally, balance control in ML direction occurs at the hip and trunk of the body while the pelvis generates ML motion in the lateral direction (**Shumway-Cook and Woollacott, 2007**). When descending response of the body segment takes place, head movement will occur first, followed by trunk and hip movements. The data revealed that there was significant increase in ML sway for the obese (**Blaszczyk et al., 2007**).

**Menegoni et al.**, (2009) did not notice significant differences between obese and normal-weight women in ML COP displacements. Due to the reduction in body weight, (Cieślińska-Świder and Blaszczyk, 2019) applied (physical activity training with 60%-70% from maximum HR) he did not notice the influence of therapy on the COP total velocities during standing, average speed and maximal velocity in obese women before and after weight loss.

Also, the was no statistical significant difference between obese and healthy male in (AP and ML And MV mean velocity during applying static balance test in OE conditions reported by **Menegoni et al., (2011).** Throughout the evaluation for obese, overweight, normal weight and underweight for 26 adult women after 30 second of quit standing trial there were statistical significant different between overweight and normal weight in mean velocity and this against our statistical result that was not significant between both groups normal and overweight average velocity (**Sibella et al., 2024**).

Hue et al., (2007) estimated the contribution of body weight to postural stability in conditions of vision and no vision. With eyes open, body weight accounted for 52% of variance in balance stability. With eyes closed, the contribution of body weight was 54% of variance. The study noted a strong correlation between increased body weight and decreased postural stability, as evidenced by increased COP speed to maintain stability, decreased mean peak stability times and increased mean distance between stable positions

It is clarified that obese persons are less responsive to perturbation than normal weight subjects. One potential explanation for this decreased sensitivity is the increase in mean pressure that the mechanoreceptors – the body's sensory receptor Structural and functional declines of the somatosensory systems occur with increase in body weight and these changes are associated by postural stability. Several studies observed an increase in plantar contact areas and pressure levels in the heel, midfoot and metatarsal areas (**Fabris et al., 2006; Birtane and Tuna, 2004; Hills et al., 2001**). It is possible that this constant and elevated pressure interferes with the function of the mechanoreceptors that is necessary to inform the body's response to oscillation. Whatever the mechanism, it seems likely that obese individuals are challenged in recovering balance once a postural perturbation occurs.

Mean COP displacement speed, and range values in A/P and M/L and speed were significantly reduced in obese and morbid obese groups after hypocaloric diet and post bariatric due to weight loss reported by **Handrigan** et al., (2010).

For underweight an observational study done with 75 subjects from both genders, between 18-23 years were included in this study the participant assigned to 3 groups based on BMI calculation equation. Group A-underweight, group B-normal and group C-obese assessment was performed for both eyes conditions opened and closed, found that the sway increased to a maximum in AP direction and minimum sway in ML direction with no statistical significance difference for underweight individuals in comparison to normal and this could be due to localized plantar flexor fatigue that cause impairment to postural control in underweight young adult as developed by **Tharani and Kamatchi (2019)**.

It is reported in the underweight individuals, the deficient body mass could have influenced foot morphology, could led to the development of greater neuromuscular imbalances. It is clear that reduced position sense in underweight individuals may lead to increased risk for falls and serious injuries during everyday activities parameters (**Paschalis et al., 2013**).

Changes in body mass index affect decreasing throughout the evaluation for obese, overweight, normal weight and underweight for 26 adult women after 30 second of quit standing trial there were statistical significant different between underweight and normal weight in mean velocity and the other group also except between (obese and underweight) expressed that there were a statically difference between both groups normal and underweight in average velocity (**Sibella et al., 2024**).

The ability of muscle tone which also affects the balance of the human body Muscle strength is one of the factors that affect balance. A person with an ideal BMI category has better muscle strength than a person with a non-ideal BMI category because his body fat composition is relatively low and his muscle composition is relatively high (**Handayani et al., 2022**). This is what makes the ability to maintain postural balance is also better malnutrition can cause reduce muscle strength (**Intan et al., 2020**).

So, it can be concluded that individuals with non-ideal BMI tend to experience a decrease in muscle strength. Weak muscle conditions will have an impact on a decrease in postural balance because of increasing average speed indicated to impaired postural control so that underweight have a higher cop average velocity due to (reduced proprioception, coordination, muscle mass and tone, and strength (Handayani et al., 2022; Azi et al., 2020).

Obese subjects showed a longer length and a larger area of sway than lean and overweight subjects produced a larger increase of sway in obese subjects than in lean and overweight subjects (This finding supports that obese subjects may be more dependent on vision to control balance. In addition, obese subjects uses their somatosensorial to control posture differently than lean and overweight subjects (**Cruz-Gómez et al., 2011**).

Limited mobility in obese individuals accelerates physiological repercussions through increased adipose burden and intramuscular fat, reducing muscle quality and tone. Indeed, increased sway length and sway velocity about a COP are presumed identifiers of at-risk populations (**Wearing et al., 2014**).

A significant increase in sway parameters (circular area, ellipse area, and path length) were also observed in obese fallers. Traditionally, greater COP displacements have been linked with less stability and, consequently, increased fall risk. This implies the motor system was unable to adjust to the demands inherent in obesity during stance, resulting in diminished adaptability and stability. In this context, the increase in sway area and path length may be a result of impaired feedback control or impaired proprioception/vision/vestibular system leading to a reduced adaptive capacity of the postural system (**Pagnotti et al., 2020; Manor et al., 2010**).

Also evaluated the effect of obesity on mean peaks and mean distance. The mean peaks correspond to the time in which the COP is relatively stable and the mean distance corresponds to the distance between stability zones. Consequently, shorter mean peaks (time) and larger mean distance between peaks, as observed in obese individuals, indicate a more instable COP. The discriminative power of these two COP sway parameters is greater than those of other global parameters to distinguish among sensory and pathological conditions in the general framework of balance control (**Dutil et al., 2013**).

The study noted a strong correlation between increased body weight and decreased postural stability, as evidenced by increased COP speed to maintain stability, decreased mean peak stability times and increased mean distance between stable positions. Also cog velocity and total sway distance were significantly greater in the obese group confirmed by (**Son, 2016**).

As the increased mass affects the mechanical and sensorial systems involved in postural control, the central nervous system has to adapt its control actions to maintain balance. Sensory system input dramatically reduced the postural balance of high weight children compared to normal children. In addition to the system that might be less effective in over weight and obese children is the somatosensory system. The excessive pressure on these children's feet might alter the activity of the plantar cutaneous sensory receptors; this may reduce the sensory feedback required to coordinate the body's position and to maintain postural balance also poor postural balance in obese and overweight children may be associated with more frequent falls and with a higher risk of fractures (**Steinberg et al., 2018**).

Mean speed is often considered to represent an overall amount of activity necessary to maintain stability. Mean peak corresponds to time instants in which the ankle torque and the associated motor commands are relatively stable and mean distance represents the distance between one relative stable region to another one. With the increase of body weight, the peaks decreased and the distance between stable regions increased significantly (**Baratto et al., 2006**). Overweight and obese children have better stability parameters such as ellipse area, AP, ML, total path length than children with normal body weight (**Rusek et al., 2021; Rezaeipour, 2018**).

A study conducted on female collages age between 20-25 y old to illustrate the relation between BMI for (obese, overweight, normal and underweight) and static balance in the result was significant negative moderate-tostrong correlation between BMI and postural balance for static balance with eyes open and closed eye on different surfaces during test (Almurdi, 2024).

Melzer and Oddsson, (2016) used stabilogram-diffusion analysis to evaluate underlying mechanisms of postural control characteristics of obese older adults. The higher short-term scaling exponent values indicated an increase in persistence, meaning that body sway tends to continue moving in an ongoing direction when open-loop control dominates. Moreover, an increase in transition displacement and transition time interval values indicated that closed-loop control began to dominate behavior at longer time intervals and at higher amplitudes of sway in the obese group compared to the normal weight group increased risk of fall (Melzer and Oddsson, 2016).

Also, Cieślińska-Świder and Blaszczyk, (2019) reported that total maxima velocity in ML and AP summation) before and after weight loss in obese group in obese woman the result was that there was no Statistical significant difference.

The presence of higher oscillations in obese individuals with respect to controls, two hypotheses are proposed by literature: 1) the reduction of plantar sensitivity due to the hyperactivation of the plantar mechanoreceptors for the continuous pressure of supporting the large mass; and 2) the presence of high mechanical request in obese subjects due to a whole body center of mass further away from the axis of rotation causing a greater gravitational torque the obese individuals present larger excursions of COP, which are characterized by the same velocity of oscillation if compared non obese (**Capodaglio et al., 2012**).

Obese elderly females showed that their COP swing velocity (oscillation maximum and minimum) was lower than the normal weight group. Reasons for improved stability along ML direction could be of anatomical changes in response to obesity, such as more limitation of range of movement in the lower limbs and torso to the side due increasing adiposity in lower part of the body (**Rezaeipour and Apanasenko, 2018**). Higher values of

BMI and body mass components correlated with a shorter path length in both pre-pubertal and adolescent children (**Rusek et al., 2021**).

A lot of epidemiological studies suggesting that a low BMI is a risk factor of fall and instable posture due to excess sway of COP because it is thought that BMI could offer a skeletal loading, increases osseous mass and padding that guards from fractures during falls which could explain why underweight people center of pressure is higher oscillatory amplitude (**Hue et al., 2007**).

#### **Conclusion:**

Obesity is associated with impaired postural stability, characterized by increased postural sway and reduced balance control. These findings highlight the importance of addressing weight-related issues to improve postural stability and reduce the risk of falls, particularly in individuals with obesity. Future research should investigate the underlying mechanisms linking obesity to postural instability and explore targeted interventions to enhance balance control in this population.

#### Acknowledgements:

The authors thank for Dr. Amira H. Mohammed and Dr. Mohamed N. Al Khouli assistant professors at department of Physical Therapy for Pediatrics and its Surgeries, Faculty of Physical Therapy, Delta University for Science and Technology for their support in the conduction of this study.

#### **Funding support**

This research received no specific grant from any funding agency in the public, commercial, or medical for-profit sectors.

#### **Conflict of Interest:**

The Authors declare that there is no conflict of interest

#### References

**Almurdi M**. Postural balance and functional mobility in relation to BMI and body composition among female students at a College of Applied Medical Sciences: A cross-sectional study. Clinics. 2024;79, 100401.

Azi YPM, Amir TL, Anggita MY. Hubungan Antara Obesitas Dengan Keseimbangan Postural Pada Mahasiswa Universitas Esa Unggul. Fisioter J Ilm Fisioter. 2020; 20(1).

**Baratto L, Morasso PG, Re C, Spada G.** A new look at posturographic analysis in the clinical context: swaydensity versus other parameterization techniques. Motor Control 2002; 6: 246–70.

**Berrigan F, Simoneau M, Tremblay A, et al.** Influence of obesity on accurate and rapid arm movement performed from a standing posture. Int J Obese 2006 ; 30(12):1750e7.

**Birtane M, Tuna H.** The evaluation of plantar pressure distribution inobese and non-obese adults. Clin Biomech 2004; 19(10):1055e9.

Blaszczyk, J. W., Cieślinska-Świder, J., Plewa, M., Zahorska-Markiewicz, B., & Markiewicz, A. Effects of excessive body weight on postural control. Journal of biomechanics. (2009);42(9), 1295-1300.

**Blaszczyk JW, Orawiec R, Duda-Klodowska D, Opala G.** Assessment of postural instability in patients with parkinson's disease. Experimental Brain Research .2007; 183, 107–114.

**Bonnet C T, Cherraf S, Szaffarczyk S and Rougier P R.** The contribution of body weight distribution and center of pressure location in the control of mediolateral stance. Journal of biomechanics. 2014;47(7), 1603-1608.

Capodaglio P, Cimolin V, Tacchini E, Parisio C and Galli M. Balance control and balance recovery in obesity. Current Obesity Reports. 2012;1, 166-173.

**Carpenter M G, Frank J S, Winter D A and Peysar, G W.** Sampling duration effects on centre of pressure summary measures. Gait & posture. 2001; 13(1), 35–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0966-6362(00)00093-x

**Cieślińska-Świder J M and Blaszczyk J W.** Posturographic characteristics of the standing posture and the effects of the treatment of obesity on obese young women. 2019; 14(9), e0220962.

**Corbeil P, Simoneau M, Rancourt D, et al.** Increased risk for falling associated with obesity: mathematical modeling of postural control. IEEE Trans Neural Syst Rehabil Eng .2001; 9(2):126e36

Cruz-Gómez N S, Plascencia G, Villanueva-Padrón LA and Jáuregui-Renaud K. Influence of obesity and gender on the postural stability during upright stance. Obesity Facts. 2011; 4(3), 212-217.

**Dutil M, Handrigan GA, Corbeil P, et al**. The impact of obesity on balance control in community-dwelling older women. Age (Dordr). 2013; 35:883–890

**Emara A, Mahmoud S, & Emira M.** (Effect of body weight on static and dynamic posturography. The Egyptian Journal of Otolaryngology. 2020;36, 1-8.

Fabris S, Valezi A, de Souza S, Faintuch J, Cecconello I, Junior M. Computerized baropodometry in obese patients. Obes Surg 2006; 16(12): 1574-1578.

Freitas, S. M., Wieczorek, S. A., Marchetti, P. H., and Duarte, M. Age-related changes in human postural control of prolonged standing. Gait & posture.2005; 22(4), 322-330.

**Gage WH, Winter DA, Frank JS, et al.** Kinematic and kinetic validity of the inverted pendulum model in quiet standing. Gait Posture 2004 ; 19(2):124e32.

Geldhof E, Cardon G, De Bourdeaudhuij I, Danneels L, Coorevits p, Vanderstraeten, G, and De Clercq, D. Static and dynamic standing balance: test-retest reliability and reference values in 9 to 10 year old children. European journal of pediatrics. 2006; 165, 779-786.

Gravante G, Russo G, Pomara F, et al. Comparison of ground reaction forces between obese and control young adults during quiet standing on a baropodometric platform. Clin Biomech 2003; 18(8):780e2.

**Greve J, Alonso A, Bordini A, Camanho G.** Correlation between body mass index and postural balance. 2007; 62(6): 717-720.

Handayani M, Sayuti M and Nadira C S. Relationship between body mass index and postural balance among student of the martial arts club malikussaleh university. 2022; 11(3), 131-137.

Handrigan G, Hue O, Simoneau M, Corbeil P, Marceau P, Marceau S and Teasdale N. Weight loss and muscular strength affect static balance control. International Journal of Obesity, 34(5), 936-942. (2010).

Hasan SS, Lichtenstein M J and Shiavi, R G .Effect of loss of balance on biomechanics platform measures of sway: influence of stance and a method for adjustment. Journal of biomechanics. 1990;23(8), 783-789.

**Hills AP, Bar-Or OO, McDonald MM, Hennig EM**. Plantar pressure differences between obese and non-obese adults: a biomechanical analysis. Journal of the International Association for the Study of Obesity. 2001; 25(11): 1674-1679,. <u>https://www.sensormedica.com</u>

**Hue O, Simoneau M, Marcotte J, Berrigan F, Doré J, Marceau P and Teasdale N**; Body weight is a strong predictor of postural stability. Gait & posture, (2007), 26(1), 32-38. **Innocenti, L.** Correlation between obesity and balance (Master's thesis, Universidade de Tras-os-Montes e Alto Douro (Portugal)). (2018).

Intan K, Dewi M, Ayu I, Widiastuti E. Hubungan antara Indeks Massa Tubuh dengan Kekuatan Otot pada Mahasiswa Fakultas Kedokteran Universitas Mataram. J Kedokt Unram. 2020;9(1):63–72.

**Khasnutdinova SL and Grjibovski AM.** Prevalence of stunting, underweight, overweight and obesity in adolescents in Velsk district, north-west Russia: A cross-sectional study using both international and Russian growth references. Public Health 2010; 124(7), pp.392–397.

Lafage V, Schwab F, Skalli W, Hawkinson N, Gagey PM, Ondra S and Farcy J P. Standing Balance and Sagittal Plane Spinal Deformity. Spine 2008; 33(14), pp. 1572–1578.pin

Levine D and Whittle M W. The effects of pelvic movement on lumbar lordosis in the standing position. Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy 1996; 24(3), 130-135.

**Lob-Corzilius T.** Overweight and obesity in childhood--a special challenge for public health. International journal of hygiene and environmental health. 2007; 210(5), 585–589. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijheh.2007.07.019

Manor B, Costa M D, Hu K Newton E, Starobinets O, Kang H G, Peng C K, Novak V, Lipsitz L A. Physiological complexity and system adaptability: evidence from postural control dynamics of older adults. 2010; (Bethesda, Md.: 1985), 109(6), 1786–1791. <u>https://doi.org/10.1152/japplphysiol.00390.2010</u>

Melzer I and Oddsson L I. Altered characteristics of balance control in obese older adults. Obesity research & clinical practice. 2016;10(2), 151-158.

Menegoni F, Capodaglio P, Vismara L, Cimolin V, Grugni G & Galli M Characterisation of balance capacity in Prader–Willi patients. Research in Developmental Disabilities. 2011;32(1), 81-86.

Menegoni F Galli M Tacchini, E Vismara L Cavigioli M and Capodaglio P. Gender-specific effect of obesity on balance. Obesity .2009; 17(10), 1951-1956.

**National Center for health Statistics .** CDC Growth Charts: United States. 2014; [WWWdocument].URL http://www.cdc.gov/growthcharts/clinical\_charts. htm.

**Onyemaechi, N O,Anyanwu G E Obikili E N, Onwuasoigwe O andNwankwo OE.** Impact of overweight and obesity on the musculoskeletal system using lumbosacral angles. Patient preference and adherence. 2016; 291-296.

**Pagnotti GM, Haider A, Yang A, Cottell K E, Tuppo C M, Tong K Y and Chan M.** Postural stability in obese preoperative bariatric patients using static and dynamic evaluation. Obesity facts.2020; 13(5), 499-513.

**Paschalis V, Nikolaidis M, Theodorou A A, Deli C K ,Raso V, Jamurtas A Z and Koutedakis .** The effects of eccentric exercise on muscle function and proprioception of individuals being overweight and underweight. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research. 2013; 27(9), 2542-2551. (2013)

**Pineda R C, Krampe R T, Vanlandewijck Y and Van Biesen D.** Reliability of center of pressure excursion as a measure of postural control in bipedal stance of individuals with intellectual disability. 2020;15(10), e0240702. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0240702 Pollock A S, Durward B R, Rowe P and Paul, J. P. What is balance?. *Clinical rehabilitation*. 2000; *14*(4), 402–406. <u>https://doi.org/10.1191/0269215500cr342oa</u>

**Rezaeipour M.** Evaluation of postural stability in overweight and obese middle-aged men. Turkish journal of medical sciences 2018; 48(5), 1053-1057.

**Riach C L and Starkes J L** Velocity of center of pressure excursions as an indicator of postural control systems in children. Gait & Posture.1994; 2(3), 167-172.

**Rusek W, Adamczyk M, Baran J, Leszczak J, Inglot G, Baran R and Pop T**. Is There a Link between Balance and Body Mass Composition in Children and Adolescents? International journal of environmental research and public health.2021; 18(19), 10449.

Samson M and Crowe A. Intra-subject inconsistencies in quantitative assessments of body sway. Gait & Posture1996; 4(3), 252–257. <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/0966-6362(95)01050-5</u>

Shumway-Cook A and Woollacott MH; Motor Control: Translating Research Into Clinical Practice. [online] GoogleBooks.2007; LippincottWilliams&Wilkins.Availablehttps://www.google.com.eg/books/edition/Motor control

**Sibella F, Galli M and Crivellini M.** Overweight and obesity in posture: a biomechanical evaluation of postural stability.2003; Retrieved July 15, 2024, from https://isbweb.org/images/conf/2003/shortAbstracts/SIBELLA\_286-307\_RE\_E.pdf.

**Simoneau M and Teasdale N.** Balance control impairment in obese individuals is caused by larger balance motor commands variability. Gait & posture.2015; 41(1), 203-208.

**Şimşek T T and Şimşek İ E**. 2020; Chapter 26 - Balance and postural control. [online] Science Direct. Available at: https://www.sciencedirect.com

**Singh D, Park W, Levy M S and Jung, E S** The effects of obesity and standing time on postural sway during prolonged quiet standing. Ergonomics.2009; 52(8), 977-986.

**Son, S. M.** Influence of obesity on postural stability in young adults. Osong public health and research perspectives, 7(6), 378-381. (2016)

Steinberg , Icenogle G, Shulma E P, Breiner K, Chein ., Bacchini D, Chang L, Chaudhary N, Giunta L D, Dodge K A, Fanti K A, Lansford J E, Malone P, Oburu P, Pastorelli C, Skinner A T, Sorbring, E, Tapanya, S, Tirado. M U, Alampay L P, Takash H M S. Around the world, adolescence is a time of heightened sensation seeking and immature self-regulation. Developmental science .2008;21(2), 10.1111/desc.12532. https://doi.org/10.1111/desc.12532

**Taube W, Schubert M, Gruber M, Beck S, Faist M and Gollhofer A**. Direct corticospinal pathways contribute to neuromuscular control of perturbed stance. Journal of Applied Physiology.2006; 101(2), pp.420–429.

**Teasdale N, Hue O, Marcotte J, Berrigan F, Simoneau M, Doré J, Marceau P, Marceau S, Tremblay** A.Reducing weight increases postural stability in obese and morbid obese men. Int J Obesity .2007;31(1): 153-160.

**Tharani G, and Kamatchi K.** Correlation between body weight and postural control in healthy individuals using sway meter. Obesity and metabolism.2019; 16(2), 36-41.

Wearing J R, Nikki N, Christie B, Ann M D and Carolina K A. "iPhone app adherence to expertrecommended guidelines for pediatric obesity prevention." Childhood Obesity.2014; 10, no. 2 (2014): 132-144. (

**World Health Organization .** Obesity and Overweight. [online] World Health Organization.1995; Available at: https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight

# APPENDIX I Modified CONSORT checklist

| Section           | Checklist item   |
|-------------------|--|
| Abstract          | A Structured summary of trial design, methods,<br>results, and conclusions   |
| Introduction      | B Scientific background  |
|                   | C Objectives and/or hypotheses   |
| Methods           | D The intervention for each group, with sufficient detail  |
|                   | E How and when the primary and secondary<br>measures are evaluated   |
|                   | F Statistical methods used to compare groups<br>for primary and secondary outcomes   |
| Results           | G For each primary and secondary outcome,<br>results for each group, and the estimated size of<br>the effect and its precision |
| Discussion        | H Trial limitations, addressing sources of<br>potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant,<br>multiplicity of analyses       |
| Other information | I Sources of funding and other support   |
|                   | J Where the full trial protocol can be accessed  |

|    | Table (1): Analysis of the reviewed articles |                       |   |  |
|----|--|-----------------------|---|--|
|    | Author                                       | Aim of the Study:     | Method  | Result                                   |
| 1. | Melzer and                                   | To assess the effect  | COP displacements along the                             | Obese group subjects demonstrated        |
|    | Oddsson                                      | of obesity on         | AP and ML directions in eyes                            | significantly greater transition         |
|    | (2016)                                       | balance control       | open and eyes closed                                    | displacement, transition time            |
|    |  | mechanisms in older   | conditions were used to                                 | interval, and short-term scaling         |
|    |  | adults.               | characterize postural control in                        | exponent in the ML-direction             |
|    |  |                       | 22 obese (30—<35 kg/m2), 26                             | compared with the normal weight          |
|    |  |                       | overweight (25—<30 kg/m2),                              | group (eyes open and closed). In the     |
|    |  |                       | and 18 normal weight subjects                           | AP-direction the obese group showed      |
|    |  |                       | (18.5—<25 kg/m2).                                       | greater transition displacement (eyes    |
|    |  |                       |   | open) and short-term scaling             |
|    |  |                       |   | Average AB COB and ML COB renges         |
|    |  |                       |   | of COP sway were higher in the obese     |
|    |  |                       |   | group compared with the normal           |
|    |  |                       |   | weight group (eves open and closed)      |
| 2. | Błaszczyk.,                                  | To determine the      | The COP motion during quiet                             | A substantial reduction of postural      |
|    | et al (2009)                                 | impact of             | stance and a range of forward                           | sway was observed in all patients        |
|    |  | excessive body        | voluntary COP displacements                             | which had increased body weight.         |
|    |  | weight on postural    | were studied in 100 obese, and                          | Main postural sway parameters i.e.,      |
|    |  | control               | 33 lean women. Characteristics                          | the total path length as well as its     |
|    |  |                       | of postural sway were acquired                          | directional components were              |
|    |  |                       | while the subjects were                                 | negatively correlated with the body      |
|    |  |                       | standing quiet on a force plate                         | mass and body mass index (BMI).          |
|    |  |                       | with eyes open (EO) and with                            | The range of a whole body voluntary      |
|    |  |                       | eyes closed (EC). Their                                 | significant change in patients with an   |
|    |  |                       | anterior range of COP                                   | obesity grade of I and II Such a         |
|    |  |                       | voluntary displacements was                             | deficit was, however, found in           |
|    |  |                       | maximal whole-body leanings                             | subjects with a body mass                |
|    |  |                       | which were directed forward.                            | index above 40.                          |
| 3. | Sibella., et                                 | To examine of         | A total number of 26 women                              | Results show a strong correlation        |
|    | al (2024)                                    | postural stability in | (mean age 32.5) divided into 4                          | between pathological BMI values and      |
|    |  | obese subjects in     | subgroups in relation to BMI                            | increasing imbalance during quiet        |
|    |  | comparison to         | value (BMI: Body Mass Index                             | standing in both AP direction and ML     |
|    |  | normal subjects       | defined as weight [kg] /                                | direction, confirming that normal BMI    |
|    |  | using a               | height <sup>2</sup> [m <sup>2</sup> ]): i) underweight, | range gives the highest stability to the |
|    |  | biomechanical         | mean BMI 19.81; ii) normal                              | subject.                                 |
|    |  | approach.             | weight, mean BMI 22.33; iii)                            |  |
|    |  |                       | overweight, 26.21 and 1v)                               |  |
|    |  |                       | obese mean BMI 38.94 were                               |  |
|    |  |                       | assessed using an                                       |  |
|    |  |                       | measurement system for: 1)                              |  |
|    |  |                       | Total excursion index during                            |  |
|    |  |                       | open eye condition minimum                              |  |
|    |  |                       | of the COP trajectory vs. time                          |  |

|    |               |                       | (Etot max (COP)-min (COP));                     |  |
|----|---------------|-----------------------|---|--|
|    |               |                       | it is calculated for both AP and                |  |
|    |               |                       | ML direction; 2) Mean                           |  |
|    |               |                       | excursion velocity (vm) were                    |  |
|    |               |                       | assessed  |  |
| 4. | Son, (2016)   | To determine          | COG velocity and total sway                     | On firm and foam floors with eyes closed,    |
|    |               | whether obesity is    | distance with eyes open or eyes                 | COG velocity and total sway distance         |
|    |               | associated with less  | closed on firm or foam floors                   | were significantly greater in the obese      |
|    |               | postural stability in | were determined in 12 obese                     | group than in the normal-weight group.       |
|    |               | young adults, and     | individuals and 12 individuals                  | However, on firm and foam floors with        |
|    |               | whether it is         | with normal weight.                             | eyes open, center of gravity velocity and    |
|    |               | influenced by         |   | total sway distance were not significantly   |
|    |               | anterior pelvic tilt  |   | different in the two groups.                 |
|    |               | angle and sensory     |   |  |
|    |               | dysfunction.          |   |  |
| 5. | Cruz-         | To assess the         | 90 women and 90 men, aged                       | During recordings on hard surface,           |
|    | Gómez .,et    | influence of BMI      | 12 to 67 years old, MI (lean,                   | closing the eyes produced a larger           |
|    | al (2011)     | group                 | overweight and obese). The                      | increase of sway on obese subjects than      |
|    |               | (lean/overweight/ob   | COP during quiet upright                        | on lean and overweight subjects, with a      |
|    |               | ese) and gender on    | stance was recorded using a                     | larger increase on the length and the area   |
|    |               | the postural sway of  | force platform, during 4                        | of sway. Although gender differences         |
|    |               | adults and            | conditions (eyes open/closed                    | were found during the four sensory           |
|    |               | adolescents during    | on hard/soft surface).                          | conditions, no interaction was observed      |
|    | ~             | quiet upright stance. | ~ .   | between the BMI group and the gender.        |
| 6. | Cieślińska-   | To determine the      | Compared spontaneous                            | The results indicate that young obese        |
|    | Swider, and   | impact of body        | oscillations of the COP                         | women in the habitual standing position      |
|    | Błaszczyk,    | weight on quiet       | between 32 obese (BMI: $36.4 \pm$               | are characterized by the destabilizing       |
|    | (2019).       | standing postural     | $5.2 \text{ kg/m}^2$ , and $20 \text{ horman-}$ | only in the absence of a visual control      |
|    |               | in young women        | women and assessed the                          | This effect is dominated by the stabilizing  |
|    |               | in young women        | influence of obesity treatment                  | mass effect in the frontal plane which       |
|    |               |                       | and body weight reduction on                    | affects overall postural stability when      |
|    |               |                       | nostural sway Trajectories of                   | standing The reduction of body mass          |
|    |               |                       | the COP were assessed while                     | enables a decrease in ML static stability    |
|    |               |                       | the subjects were standing                      | likely due to natural changes in the base    |
|    |               |                       | quietly with eves open (EO)                     | of support while standing                    |
|    |               |                       | and closed (EC). Both in the                    | ······                                       |
|    |               |                       | sagittal (AP) and frontal (ML)                  |  |
|    |               |                       | planes the sway range, average                  |  |
|    |               |                       | velocity, and maximal velocity                  |  |
| 1  |               |                       | of COP were calculated.                         |  |
|    |               |                       | Moreover, the total average                     |  |
|    |               |                       | and maximal velocities were                     |  |
|    |               |                       | computed.                                       |  |
| 7. | Singh., et al | To examine the        | Ten extremely obese (BMI 4                      | The results suggest that obesity may         |
|    | (2009)        | effects of obesity    | 40 kg/m2) and 10 non-obese                      | impair postural control and may be a risk    |
|    |               | level, standing time  | (18.5 kg/m2 5 BMI 5 24.9                        | factor of balance loss and falls, especially |
|    |               | and their interaction | kg/m2) participants performed                   | during prolonged physical work               |
| 1  |               | on postural sway      | quiet upright standing on a                     | activities. The research findings are        |

|     |             | during a prolonged    | force plate for over 18 min.             | relevant to identifying and reducing risks  |
|-----|-------------|-----------------------|--|---|
|     |             | quiet upright         | Eleven postural sway measures            | of balance loss and falls in various work   |
|     |             | standing task.        | were computed for each 1-min             | place settings for a wide variety of        |
|     |             |                       | time interval based on the               | workers.                                    |
|     |             |                       | center-of-pressure data from             |   |
|     |             |                       | the force plate.                         |   |
| 8.  | Hue., et al | To determine the      | 59 male subjects with BMI                | A decrease in balance stability is strongly |
|     | (2007)      | contribution of body  | ranging from 17.4 to 63.8                | correlated to an increase in body weight.   |
|     |             | weight to predict     | kg/m2 was assessed using a               | This suggests that body weight may be an    |
|     |             | balance stability     | force platform. The subjects             | important risk factor for falling. Future   |
|     |             |                       | were tested with and without             | studies should examine more closely the     |
|     |             |                       | vision. A stepwise multiple              | combined effect of aging and obesity on     |
|     |             |                       | regression analysis was used to          | have and injuries and the impact of         |
|     |             |                       | affect of body weight age                | of doily living                             |
|     |             |                       | body beight and foot length on           | of daily living                             |
|     |             |                       | balance stability (i.e. mean             |   |
|     |             |                       | speed of the center of foot              |   |
|     |             |                       | pressure) With vision the                |   |
|     |             |                       | stepwise multiple regression             |   |
|     |             |                       | revealed that body weight                |   |
|     |             |                       | accounted for 52% of the                 |   |
|     |             |                       | variance of balance stability.           |   |
|     |             |                       | The final model explained 63%            |   |
|     |             |                       | of the variance.                         |   |
| 9.  | Emara and   | To study the          | Control group: consisted of 15           | A significant difference between studied    |
|     | Amira,      | computerized          | adult persons with normal body           | groups among the different scores           |
|     | (2020)      | dynamic               | weight with their BMI between            | recorded from sensory organization test     |
|     |             | posturography         | 18.5 and 24.99 kg/m <sup>2</sup> ; Study | and rhythmic weight shift test, which       |
|     |             | (CDP) static and      | group: was classified                    | means the presence of an effect of          |
|     |             | dynamic tests         | according to body mass index             | increased body mass index on the            |
|     |             | among healthy         | (BMI) into three subgroups:              | different sensory systems required to       |
|     |             | adults according to   | Subgroup (1) (underweight):              | maintain balance control and the motor      |
|     |             | their BMI             | consisted of 15 subjects with            | strategy used to maintain balance. In       |
|     |             | (underweight/         | their BMI < 18.5 kg/m <sup>-</sup> ;     | obese elderly subjects, there was           |
|     |             | normal weight/        | consisted of 15 subjects with            | maintaining balance With increasing         |
|     |             | overweight/obese)     | their BMI between 25 and                 | BMI there was decrease in visual            |
|     |             | overweight obese).    | $29.99 \text{ kg/m}^2$ ; Subgroup (3)    | dependence in maintaining balance           |
|     |             |                       | (obese): consisted of 15                 | dependence in maintaining balance.          |
|     |             |                       | subjects with their $BMI > 30$           |   |
|     |             |                       | $kg/m^2$ . The study included            |   |
|     |             |                       | adult persons with normal                |   |
|     |             |                       | hearing aged 18–60.                      |   |
| 10. | Teasdale et | To investigate the    | Control (n = 16) BMI $< 25$ kg;          | Obese groups significantly reduced COP      |
|     | al. 2007    | effect of weight loss | Obese (n = 14) BMI < 39.9 and            | speed with increasing weight loss           |
|     |             | on balance control    | Morbid Obese (n = $14$ ) >40 kg          |   |
|     |             | in obese and morbid   |  |   |
|     |             | obese men.            |  |   |

|     |             |                       | -Assessment for Mean COP          |   |
|-----|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
|     |             |                       | displacement speed, and RMS       |   |
|     |             |                       | in A/P and M/L directions         |   |
|     |             |                       | - Quiet stance eyes open/eyes     |   |
| 11  | D :         |                       | closed (14 trials of 35 sec)      |   |
| 11. | Rezaelpour, | To evaluate the       | A total number of 111 men         | In the AP direction under EO and EC         |
|     | (2018).     | postural stability in | categorized according to body     | conditions, obese men swayed                |
|     |             | a natural stance in   | mass index (BMI) into normal      | significantly quicker than men with         |
|     |             | overweight and        | weight, overweight, and obese     | normal weight. In the ML direction under    |
|     |             | obese men             | categories underwent a            | EO and EC conditions, a higher velocity     |
|     |             |                       | with avec open (EQ) and with      | of COP was seen in normal weight men        |
|     |             |                       | with eyes open (EO) and with      | than in obese men.                          |
|     |             |                       | eyes closed (EC). Postural        |   |
|     |             |                       | stability was assessed using a    |   |
|     |             |                       | COP velocity was assessed in      |   |
|     |             |                       | the two directions                |   |
| 12  | Handrigan   | To investigate the    | Force (isometric know             | Result suggests in overweight               |
| 12. | et al. 2010 | Weight loss and       | extension) and balance control    | individuals weight loss is more efficient   |
|     | et ul. 2010 | muscular strength     | (center of pressure speed and     | at improving balance control than           |
|     |             | affect static         | range) were studied in three      | increasing or even maintaining muscle       |
|     |             | balance control       | groups: normal weight (BMI        | strength. In these individuals, training    |
|     |             |                       | o25 kg m2), obese (30 kg m2       | programs aimed at improving balance         |
|     |             |                       | BMI 40 kg m2) and excess          | control should primarily target weight      |
|     |             |                       | obese (BMI 440 kg m2)             | loss  |
|     |             |                       | Caucasian male individuals.       |   |
|     |             |                       |                                   |   |
| 13. | Riach and   | To investigate the    | Children (n = $81$ ) aged 4-13    | The results show a distinct age-related     |
|     | Starkes     | velocity of center of | years and adults $(n = 26)$ stood | change in postural velocity in both feet    |
|     | (1994).     | pressure excursions   | quietly on a force plate to       | together and feet heel-to-toe stances       |
|     |             | as an indicator of    | determine velocity of center of   | (eyes open and eyes closed). The authors    |
|     |             | postural control      | pressure of ground reaction       | suggest a development from                  |
|     |             | systems in children   | forces.                           | predominantly fast open-loop control (4-    |
|     |             |                       |                                   | 7 years) to closed-loop control at 8 years. |
| 14. | Bonnet et   | To examine the        | Investigating the mediolateral    | The result was important because this       |
|     | al., (2014) | contribution of body  | control of upright stance in 16   | mechanism is known to be secondary,         |
|     |             | weight distribution   | healthy, young adults. The        | weaker than the body weight distribution    |
|     |             | and center of         | model analyzed the body           | mechanism to control mediolateral           |
|     |             | pressure location in  | weight distribution and center    | stance. In practical terms, these findings  |
|     |             | the control of        | of pressure location              | may explain why the mediolateral            |
|     |             | mediolateral stance   | mechanisms under three            | variability of center of pressure           |
|     |             |                       | stances width conditions (feet    | displacement was significantly higher in    |
|     |             |                       | close, under standard             | narrow stance but not lower in wide         |
|     |             |                       | condition, and apart). Our first  | stance.                                     |
|     |             |                       | mathedological requirements       |   |
|     |             |                       | to investigate the contribution   |   |
|     |             |                       |                                   |   |
|     |             |                       | of both mechanisms by means       |   |
|     |             |                       | of both mechanisms by means       |   |

| 15. Simoneau   | To study balance        | To simulate body sway of                 | Overall, this study revealed that faster     |
|----------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| and            | control impairment      | normal weight, obese and                 | COP speed observed in obese individuals      |
| Teasdale       | in obese individuals    | morbid obese individuals,                | is related to larger balance motor           |
| (2015)         | is caused by larger     | three different body model               | commands variability. As a result, to        |
|                | balance motor           | parameter sets were calculated           | improve balance control in this              |
|                | commands                | according to participant's               | population, it is suggested that the benefit |
|                | variability             | characteristics (weight: 71.1            | of a balance control improvement             |
|                |                         | 7.9 kg, 101.5 14 kg and 153.3            | training program aiming at increasing        |
|                |                         | 23.7 kg; height: 177 5.6 cm,             | ankle muscles strength would have            |
|                |                         | 175 6.8 cm and 174 6.1 cm;               | limited influence in the absence of weight   |
|                |                         | age: 38.6 9.4 years, 37.9 7.7            | loss. Following weight loss, the             |
|                |                         | years and 44.4 8.9 years, for            | gravitational torque decreases. Therefore,   |
|                |                         | control ( $n = 16$ ), obese ( $n = 14$ ) | the corrective torque amplitude is           |
|                |                         | and morbid obese $(n = 14)$              | reduced as well leading to less variability  |
|                |                         | groups respectively). These              | in the balance motor commands. Future        |
|                |                         | data were taken from a                   | work needs to determine if obese             |
|                |                         | previously published                     | individuals, in weight bearing position,     |
|                |                         | manuscript investigating the             | have higher plantar sole mechanoreceptor     |
|                |                         | effect of weight loss on balance         | threshold. This would allow assessing the    |
|                |                         | control in obese and morbidly            | contribution of sensory and motor            |
|                |                         | obese Caucasian men.                     | variability to explain their larger COP      |
|                |                         | former matter with foot                  | speed compared to lean individuals.          |
|                |                         | together for 25 a (14 trials)            |  |
|                |                         | Although participants                    |  |
|                |                         | performed 7 trials with their            |  |
|                |                         | eves closed (at 5 s a computer           |  |
|                |                         | generated tone indicated to              |  |
|                |                         | close their eves: only the last          |  |
|                |                         | 30 s served for computing the            |  |
|                |                         | COP displacement), only the              |  |
|                |                         | data with eves open are                  |  |
|                |                         | considered in the modeling               |  |
|                |                         | approach.                                |  |
| 16. Geldhof et | To study the static     | Twenty children participated in          | The ICCs for inter-item reliability of the   |
| al., (2006)    | and dynamic             | the reproducibility study (mean          | four sensory conditions of the mCTSIB        |
|                | standing balance:       | age 10.1±0.7) including test             | showed fair to excellent reliability (ICCs   |
|                | test-retest reliability | and retest measurement with a            | between 0.62 and 0.80). The                  |
|                | and reference values    | one-week interval. The                   | reproducibility between test and retest      |
|                | in 9 to 10 year old     | modified clinical test of                | was non-significant for the condition        |
|                | children                | sensory interaction on balance           | 'firm surface with eyes closed' (ICC of      |
|                |                         | (mCTSIB) quantified                      | 0.37), fair to good for the three other      |
|                |                         | children's static standing               | sensory conditions (ICCs between             |
|                |                         | balance. The test for the limits         | 0.59and 0.68), and excellent for the         |
|                |                         | of stability (LOS) measured              | composite sway velocity (ICC of 0.77).       |
|                |                         | dynamic standing balance. The            | For all LOS parameters, the significant      |
|                |                         | study sample to determine                | ICCs showed fair to good reproducibility     |
|                |                         | reference values consisted of            | (ICCs between 0.44 and 0.62), with the       |
|                |                         |  | exception of the non-significant ICC for     |

|                   |                       | 99 children (mean age                    | the composite reaction time. The ICCs      |
|-------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
|                   |                       | 9.8±0.5).                                | for the separate LOS parameters showed     |
|                   |                       |  | fair to good and excellent reliability for |
|                   |                       |  | nine parameters (ICCs between 0.46 and     |
|                   |                       |  | 0.81) while 11 separate LOS scores did     |
|                   |                       |  | not demonstrate significant ICCs girls     |
|                   |                       |  | performed better on all the composite      |
|                   |                       |  | balance parameters compared to boys        |
|                   |                       |  | with the exception of reaction time and    |
|                   |                       |  | movement velocity. No differences were     |
|                   |                       |  | found on standing balance scores           |
|                   |                       |  | hotman 0 and 10 man alda                   |
| 17                |                       |  | between 9 and 10 year olds.                |
| 17. Hasan et al., | The goal of this      | This paper describes a method            | This study shows the effect that losses of |
| (1990)            | paper to study Effect | for adjusting biomechanics               | balance have on biomechanics platform      |
|                   | Of Loss of Balance    | platform measures of sway for            | measures of sway. We suggest that loss     |
|                   | on Biomechanics       | loss of balance. Area and                | of balance data should be separated from   |
|                   | Platform Measures     | velocity measures of sway                | stance data obtained from tasks, such as   |
|                   | of Sway: Influence    | were determined in forty-seven           | single balance is high. Such adjustments   |
|                   | Of                    | elderly women, in double and             | will render sway measures better suited    |
|                   | Stance And a          | single leg stance, first with            | for examining the changes in postural      |
|                   | Method for            | their eyes open, then closed.            | control that are associated with advanced  |
|                   | Adjustment            | Subjects were rarely able to             | Age  |
|                   |                       | complete IO s trials during              |  |
|                   |                       | single leg stances. Therefore, a         |  |
|                   |                       | method was developed for                 |  |
|                   |                       | eliminating data associated              |  |
|                   |                       | with loss of balance.                    |  |
|                   |                       | Monitoring changes in vertical           |  |
|                   |                       | force and velocity by                    |  |
|                   |                       | computer, those points                   |  |
|                   |                       | exceeding trial specific                 |  |
|                   |                       | thresholds associated with loss          |  |
|                   |                       | of balance were truncated.               |  |
| 18. Innocenti,    | To study              | Forty-four participants, which           | This preliminary study shows that static   |
| (2018)            | correlations          | were 23 obese (group OB) and             | balance capacity have significate          |
|                   | between static        | 21 normal-weights (group                 | differences between a normal-weight and    |
|                   | balance and body      | CONT). The mean $\pm$ SD age,            | an obese population. This study suggests   |
|                   | mass composition,     | height and body mass of                  | investigating in general populations such  |
|                   |                       | subject were between the                 | as elderly and adolescents/children in     |
|                   |                       | normal-weight group and the              | both sexes.                                |
|                   |                       | obese group, respectively: 37.5          |  |
|                   |                       | $\pm$ 15.9 and 45.2 $\pm$ 13.2 years,    |  |
|                   |                       | $21.2 \pm 1.6$ and $38.1 \pm 4.4$ BMI,   |  |
|                   |                       | $24.5 \pm 5.2$ and $46.7 \pm 5.0$ % Fat- |  |
|                   |                       | mass. Open eye single standing           |  |
|                   |                       | test was assessed                        |  |
| 19. Tharani and   | To analyze the        | This is an observational study           | On comparing mean values of groups, A,     |
| Kamatchi          | correlation between   | done with 75 participants. Both          | B and C there was a positive association   |
| (2019)            | body weight and       | male and female healthy                  | and strong correlation between body        |

|                                  | postural control in<br>healthy individuals<br>using sway meter  | individuals between 18-23<br>years were included in this<br>study. Individuals with any<br>musculoskeletal injuries,<br>neurological conditions,<br>peripheral artery disease and<br>pregnant women were<br>excluded from the study. BMI<br>of each participant was<br>calculated and assigned into<br>three groups. Group A-lean,<br>group B-normal and group C-<br>obese. Postural control was<br>analyzed for each group by<br>using sway meter; level of<br>postural sway was compared<br>between groups A, B & C | mass index and postural control with eye<br>open and eye closed in anterior, posterior<br>and postural sway towards left between<br>the groups at ( $P \le 0.05$ ). However, there<br>was a negative association and weak<br>correlation between BMI and postural<br>control with eye open & eye closed in<br>postural sway towards right between the<br>groups at ( $P \ge 0.05$ ).   |
|----------------------------------|---|---|--|
| 20. Paschalis et<br>al., (2013). | To study the effects<br>of eccentric exercise<br>on muscle function<br>and proprioception<br>of individuals being<br>overweight and<br>underweight            | Twelve lean, 12 overweight,<br>and 8 underweight female<br>participants performed an<br>eccentric exercise session<br>using the knee extensor<br>muscles of the dominant leg.<br>Muscle damage indices and<br>proprioception were assessed<br>up to 3 days post exercise  | The results indicated that proprioception<br>at baseline of the lean individuals was<br>superior to that of the other 2 groups. The<br>overweight individuals exhibited a<br>smaller knee joint reaction angle to<br>release than did the lean group, whereas<br>the underweight individuals exhibited a<br>larger reaction angle to release than did<br>the lean group. After eccentric exercise,<br>proprioception was affected more in the<br>overweight and the underweight groups<br>than in the lean group. The greater<br>exercise-induced muscle damage<br>appeared in the overweight group, and<br>the deficient muscle mass of the<br>underweight participants could explain in<br>part the greater disturbances that<br>appeared in proprioception in these 2<br>groups than for the lean counterparts. |
| 21. Handayani<br>et al., 2022    | To study the<br>relationship<br>between body mass<br>index and postural<br>balance among<br>student of the<br>martial arts club<br>malikussaleh<br>university | The sample of this study used<br>total sampling with 49<br>respondents. Data collection<br>was carried out by measuring<br>body weight, height, static<br>balance with a standing stork<br>test and dynamic balance with<br>a modified bass test of dynamic<br>balance. The results of this<br>study obtained BMI with the<br>highest proportion is normal<br>category, with 31 people<br>(63.3%), while the static   | This study concludes that there is a<br>relationship between BMI and postural<br>balance among students of Martial Arts<br>Club Malikussaleh University.   |

|                 |                     | balance commonly found in the   |  |
|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------------------|--|
|                 |                     | very good category with 11      |  |
|                 |                     | people (22.4%), and dynamic     |  |
|                 |                     | balance commonly found in the   |  |
|                 |                     | balanced category with 29       |  |
|                 |                     | people (59.2 %)                 |  |
| 22. Pagnotti et | To study postural   | Traditional force plate         | Mean body weight was $85\%$ (p < 0.001)  |
| al., 2020       | stability in obese  | measurements and                | greater in obese than nonobese subjects.   |
|                 | preoperative        | stabilograms are gold           | Following static balance assessments, we   |
|                 | bariatric patients  | standards employed when         | observed greater sway displacement in  |
|                 | using static and    | measuring center of pressure    | the AP direction in obese subjects with  |
|                 | dynamic evaluation  | (COP) and postural sway To      | eves open (87% $p < 0.002$ ) and eves  |
|                 | ay nume e valaaton  | quantify the extent of postural | closed (76% $p = 0.04$ ) versus nonobese   |
|                 |                     | instability in subjects with    | subjects. Obese subjects also exhibited a  |
|                 |                     | abasity bafara bariatria        | higher COP velocity in static tests when   |
|                 |                     | obesity before barratic         | inglier COF verocity in static tests when<br>subjects' even were even $(470/\pi = 0.04)$ |
|                 |                     | surgery, we assessed 17 obese   | Subjects eyes were open $(4776, p = 0.04)$ .   |
|                 |                     | subjects with an average BMI    | Dynamic tests demonstrated no  |
|                 |                     | of 40 kg/m2 in contrast to 13   | differences between groups in sway   |
|                 |                     | nonobese subjects with an       | displacement in either direction;  |
|                 |                     | average BMI of 30 kg/m2.        | however, COP velocity in the ML  |
|                 |                     | COP and postural sway were      | direction was reduced (31%, $p < 0.02$ ) in  |
|                 |                     | measured from static and        | obese subjects while voluntarily swaying   |
|                 |                     | dynamic tasks. Involuntary      | in the AP direction, but increased in the  |
|                 |                     | movements were measured         | same cohort when swaying in the ML   |
|                 |                     | when patients performed static  | direction (40%, p < 0.04)  |
|                 |                     | stances, with eyes either       |  |
|                 |                     | opened or closed. Two           |  |
|                 |                     | additional voluntary            |  |
|                 |                     | movements were measured         |  |
|                 |                     | when subjects performed         |  |
|                 |                     | dynamic, upper torso tasks      |  |
|                 |                     | with eyes opened                |  |
| 23. Capodaglio  | To Investigate      | Platform stabilometry consists  | the result concluded that high   |
| et al.,         | balance control and | of the measurement of forces    | mechanical request in obese subjects due   |
| 2012).          | balance recovery in | exerted against a platform      | to a whole body center of mass further   |
|                 | obesity             | during quiet stance. The force  | away from the axis of rotation causing a   |
|                 |                     | platform quantifies the body    | greater gravitational torque the obese   |
|                 |                     | sways of an individual in a     | individuals present larger excursions of   |
|                 |                     | standing position. It is widely | COP, which are characterized by the  |
|                 |                     | used in clinical settings to    | same velocity of oscillation if compared   |
|                 |                     | obtain functional markers on    | non obese  |
|                 |                     | fine competencies and their     |  |
|                 |                     | development and a large         |  |
|                 |                     | number of posturographic        |  |
|                 |                     | measures are sensitive to       |  |
|                 |                     | testing condition (ie eves open |  |
|                 |                     | vs eves closed feet position    |  |
|                 |                     | and presence of external        |  |
|                 |                     | and presence of external        |  |
| 1               |                     | sumun). Static posturography    |  |

|  | is user-friendly and typically in |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|
|  | everyday practice focuses on      |  |
|  | the properties of the COP         |  |
|  | trajectory using time series      |  |
|  | (length, surface, maximal         |  |
|  | amplitude of the displacement,    |  |
|  | speed, and frequency analysis).   |  |