Delta University Scientific Journal
Journal home page: https://dusj.journals.ekb.eg

Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering: Keys of Success

Sara Khaled ElSheikh[1]

[1]*Demonstrator at Department of Dental and Bio-materials, Faculty of Dental and Oral Medicine, Delta University for Science and Technology, Cairo, Egypt.*

Correspondence: [Sara Khaled ElSheikh]; [7650001]; Tel [01062846532]; Email : e**laheikhsara@gmail.com**

ABSTRACT
Aim: the aim of this article is to comprehensively review the key factors responsible for scaffold success. Starting from the different materials used, and their importance. To the factors related to manufacture and strength. **Materials and Methods:** An electronic search for systematic review was conducted in PubMed/MEDLINE [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov], Cochrane [www.cochrane.org], Scopus [www.scopus.com] databases, and dental journals related to endodontics, Oral biology, Dental biomaterials and pediatric dentistry to identify the research investigations associated with scaffold fabrication, types, relation between mechanical properties and biocompatibility, and rates of biodegradability. A sample of relevant studies were identified in our search of 100 using simple random sampling. **Results:** Balance between mechanical properties, biocompatibility and biodegradability should be considered in order to reach the highest levels of success, as scaffold is one of the success keys in tissue engineering. **Conclusions:** An ideal scaffold must fulfill critical requirements, including; biocompatibility, biodegradability, mechanical strength, appropriate porosity for nutrient and oxygen diffusion, incorporation of bioactive molecules, and use of advanced fabrication methods such as 3D printing can enhance scaffold performance and ensure scaffolds effectively support tissue regeneration.

Keywords: *Scaffold; Tissue Engineering; Biocompitability; Degradability*

1. Introduction

Tissue engineering has emerged as a revolutionary field with the potential to transform regenerative medicine by providing innovative solutions for repairing or replacing damaged tissues and organs (Langer and Vacanti, 1993). This interdisciplinary field combines the principles of biology and engineering to create functional substitutes that mimic the complex structure and function of native tissues (Griffith and Naughton, 2002). Central to the success of tissue engineering strategies is the scaffold, a three-dimensional biomaterial that serves as a temporary support structure and guides cell growth and tissue formation (Ma, 2008).

Scaffolds play a crucial role in mimicking the natural extracellular matrix [ECM], providing a biocompatible environment for cells to adhere, proliferate, and differentiate (O'Brien, 2011). They act as a framework for tissue regeneration, facilitating cell-cell and cell-matrix interactions that are essential for the development of functional tissues (Lutolf and Hubbell, 2005). The design and fabrication of scaffolds are critical aspects of tissue engineering, requiring careful consideration of various factors to ensure optimal tissue regeneration (Place et al., 2009).

Key considerations in scaffold design include biocompatibility, biodegradability, and mechanical properties (Hollister, 2005). Biocompatibility ensures that the scaffold does not elicit adverse reactions when implanted in the body, while biodegradability allows the scaffold to be gradually resorbed and replaced by newly formed tissue (Hutmacher, 2000). The mechanical properties of the scaffold should match those of the target tissue to provide adequate support and promote proper tissue development (Drury and Mooney, 2003). Additionally, the scaffold's architecture, including pore size, porosity, and interconnectivity, influences nutrient diffusion, cell migration, and vascularization, all of which are critical for successful tissue regeneration (Sachlos and Czernuszka, 2003).

The selection of an appropriate scaffold type depends on the specific tissue engineering application and the desired properties of the regenerated tissue (Khademhosseini and Langer, 2007). Various scaffold types have been developed, each with unique characteristics tailored to specific needs (Lee and Mooney, 2001). Hydrogels, composed of hydrophilic polymers, offer high water content and resemble soft tissues, making them suitable for applications such as wound healing and drug delivery (Hoffman, 2002). Porous scaffolds, fabricated from biodegradable polymers or ceramics, provide interconnected pores for cell infiltration and nutrient transport, making them ideal for bone and cartilage regeneration (Karageorgiou and Kaplan, 2005). Fibrous meshes, created through electrospinning or other techniques, mimic the fibrous nature of the ECM and are often used for skin and vascular tissue engineering (Pham et al., 2006).

2. Types of Scaffolds

Scaffolds can be categorized into three primary types based on their origin and material composition; Natural Scaffolds which are derived from biological materials such as collagen, chitosan, and alginate. Their biocompatibility and bioactivity promote cell adhesion and growth. For example, collagen scaffolds are widely used due to their similarity to the extracellular matrix (ECM) of tissues, enhancing cell-matrix interactions (Soleymani Eil Bakhtiari and Karbasi, 2024). Synthetic Scaffolds including polycaprolactone (PCL), polylactic acid (PLA), and polyethylene glycol (PEG), fall into this category. Synthetic scaffolds can be engineered to possess specific mechanical and degradation properties. Their tunable nature allows for customization based on the intended application (Abdelaziz et al., 2023). Hybrid Scaffolds which are Combination between natural and synthetic materials, hybrid scaffolds aim to leverage the benefits of both types. For instance, a scaffold may be designed to provide the mechanical strength of synthetic polymers while incorporating the bioactivity of natural components. This approach can enhance cell behavior and tissue integration (Wu et al., 2023).

3. Key Properties of Scaffolds

Successful tissue engineering scaffolds must possess several critical properties:

3.1. Biocompatibility: Scaffolds should not elicit an adverse immune response. The choice of materials and their surface properties significantly influence biocompatibility. For example, decellularized extracellular matrix (dECM) scaffolds offer a non-immunogenic environment conducive to tissue regeneration (Gao et al., 2022).

3.2. Porosity and Pore Size: Adequate porosity is essential for nutrient diffusion, waste removal, and cell migration. The pore size must be optimized to support vascularization and tissue integration. Studies suggest that a pore size of 100-500 micrometers is ideal for bone tissue engineering (Flores-Rojas et al., 2023).

3.3. Mechanical Strength: Scaffolds must possess sufficient mechanical strength to withstand physiological loads while maintaining their structure during tissue development. This is particularly critical for load-bearing applications such as bone and cartilage engineering (Zoghi, 2024).

3.4. Degradability: The degradation rate of scaffolds must match the rate of tissue regeneration. Scaffolds that degrade too quickly may fail to provide adequate support, while those that degrade too slowly can hinder new tissue formation. Biodegradable polymers such as PLA and PCL are commonly used for this purpose (Păltânea et al., 2023).

4. Strategies for Enhancing Scaffold Performance

In order to improve the performance of scaffolds, researchers employ various strategies:

4.1. Surface Modifications: Modifying the surface characteristics of scaffolds can enhance cell attachment and proliferation. Techniques such as plasma treatment, chemical modifications, and coating with bioactive molecules can significantly improve scaffold performance (Hu et al., 2023).

4.2. Incorporation of Bioactive Factors: Adding growth factors, such as bone morphogenetic proteins (BMPs), can stimulate cellular activities and promote tissue regeneration. These factors can be incorporated into the scaffold matrix or released in a controlled manner to enhance healing (Oliveira et al., 2021).

4.3. 3D Printing Technologies: Advanced fabrication techniques, such as 3D printing, allow for precise control over scaffold architecture and properties. This technology enables the creation of customized scaffolds that mimic the complex structure of natural tissues, improving integration and function (Zhang et al., 2023).

5. Challenges in Scaffold Development

Despite advancements, several challenges remain in scaffold development:

5.1. Integration with Host Tissues: Ensuring that scaffolds integrate well with surrounding tissues is a significant hurdle. Factors such as scaffold stiffness, surface chemistry, and the presence of bioactive molecules influence integration outcomes (Kim et al., 2023).

5.2. Balancing Mechanical and Biological Properties: Achieving the right balance between mechanical strength and biological performance is crucial. Scaffolds that are too rigid may impede cellular infiltration, while those that are too soft could collapse under physiological loads, compromising their structural integrity and functionality (Johnston and Callanan, 2023).

5.3. Manufacturing Consistency: Ensuring uniformity in scaffold fabrication is essential for reproducible results. Variability in material properties, scaffold architecture, and surface characteristics can lead to inconsistent biological responses, complicating the assessment of scaffold performance (Echeverria Molina et al., 2021).

6. Recent Advances in Scaffold Technology

Innovations in scaffold technology are paving the way for enhanced applications in tissue engineering:

6.1. Biodegradable Polymers: Recent studies emphasize the effectiveness of biodegradable polymers, such as poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) and its copolymers, in creating scaffolds for bone tissue engineering. These materials offer favorable degradation profiles and mechanical properties, making them suitable for load-bearing applications (Yand et al., 2024).

6.2. Electrospun Scaffolds: Electrospinning has emerged as a popular technique for producing fibrous scaffolds that mimic the natural ECM. Electrospun fibers can be tailored in terms of diameter and porosity, allowing for enhanced cell attachment and proliferation [30].

6.3. Nanocomposite Scaffolds: Incorporating nanoparticles into scaffold matrices can enhance mechanical properties and introduce bioactive functionalities. For instance, the addition of hydroxyapatite nanoparticles to polymer scaffolds can improve osteoconductivity, promoting bone regeneration (Chen et al., 2023).

6.4. Conductive Hydrogels: Recent advancements in conductive hydrogels facilitate electrical signaling within engineered tissues. This capability is particularly beneficial for nerve and cardiac tissue engineering, where electrical conductivity plays a critical role in tissue function and regeneration (Qin et al., 2023).

6.5. Bioprinting Technologies: The advent of bioprinting technologies allows for the layer-by-layer deposition of living cells and biomaterials to create complex tissue constructs. This approach holds promise for producing custom scaffolds that closely resemble the architecture and function of natural tissues (Zhu et al., 2024).

7. Applications of Scaffolds in Tissue Engineering

Scaffolds have a wide range of applications in tissue engineering, including:

7.1. Bone Tissue Engineering: Scaffolds designed for bone regeneration must possess adequate mechanical strength and bioactivity. Various materials, including calcium phosphate ceramics and bioactive glasses, have been explored for their potential to support bone healing (Olson et al., 2011).

7.2. Cartilage Regeneration: Scaffolds for cartilage repair often utilize hydrogels and other soft materials that can mimic the compressive properties of cartilage. The incorporation of chondrocytes and growth factors within these scaffolds can enhance regeneration (Yang et al., 2024).

7.3. Skin Regeneration: Scaffolds for skin tissue engineering typically feature porous structures to facilitate cell infiltration and vascularization. Collagen-based scaffolds have shown promising results in promoting wound healing and skin regeneration (Chaudhari et al., 2016).

7.4. Nerve Regeneration: Scaffolds designed for nerve tissue engineering must provide both physical support and biochemical cues to guide nerve regeneration. Conductive materials and growth factor delivery systems are critical for enhancing nerve repair (Liu et al., 2021).

8. Future Perspectives

The field of tissue engineering is rapidly evolving, with ongoing research focused on overcoming existing challenges and expanding scaffold applications. Future trends may include:

8.1. Personalized Medicine: Tailoring scaffolds to individual patient needs through advanced biomaterials and fabrication techniques could enhance treatment outcomes and reduce complications (Zhao et al., 2020).

8.2. Integration of Smart Materials: The development of smart scaffolds that respond to environmental stimuli (e.g., pH, temperature) may improve their performance in dynamic biological environments (Yuan et al., 2024).

8.3. Regulatory and Ethical Considerations: As tissue engineering technologies advance, addressing regulatory and ethical issues surrounding the use of biomaterials and living cells will be essential for successful clinical translation (Baker et al., 2016).

9. Conclusion

Scaffolds are critical components in the realm of tissue engineering, serving as the backbone for cellular activities and tissue regeneration. This review has highlighted the diverse types of scaffolds, their essential properties, and the strategies for enhancing their performance, as well as the challenges faced in their development. The ongoing advancements in scaffold technology, such as the integration of smart materials, bioprinting, and the incorporation of bioactive factors, promise to further improve the efficacy of tissue engineering applications. By addressing the challenges and harnessing these innovations, the field can move closer to realizing the potential of engineered tissues in clinical applications.

Acknowledgments
I'm so grateful to Dr. Nada Reda Desouky, Teaching assistant, Department of Oral Biology, Modern University for Technology and Information, for her great help through this work and being sincere in order to get this final comprehensive review.

Competing interests
The author reports no conflicts of interest in this work.

Funding
This work is self-funded.

References
Abdelaziz AG, Nageh H, Abdo S, Abdalla MS, Amer AA. A Review of 3D Polymeric Scaffolds for Bone Tissue Engineering: Principles, Fabrication Techniques, Immunomodulatory Roles, and Challenges. Biomaterials. 2023; *10*(2): 204.

Baker HB, McQuilling JP, King NM. Ethical considerations in tissue engineering research: Case studies in translation. Methods. 2016;99:135-44.

Chaudhari AA, Vig K, Baganizi DR, Sahu R, Dixit S, Dennis V, Singh SR, Pillai SR. Future Prospects for Scaffolding Methods and Biomaterials in Skin Tissue Engineering: A Review. Int J Mol Sci. 2016 ;17(12):1974.

Chen Y, Shi Y, Zhang X, Li Y. Electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds for tissue engineering applications: A review. Mater Today. 2023; *162*:157-178.

Drury JL, Mooney DJ. Hydrogels for tissue engineering: scaffold design variables and applications. Biomaterials. 2003;24(24):4337-51.

Echeverria Molina MI, Malollari KG, Komvopoulos K. Design Challenges in Polymeric Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering. Front Bioeng Biotechnol. 2021; 9:617141.

Flores-Rojas GG, Gómez-Lázaro B, López-Saucedo F, Vera-Graziano R, Bucio E, Mendizábal E. Electrospun Scaffolds for Tissue Engineering: A Review. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2023; *3*(3): 524-553.

Gao C, Song S, Lv Y, Huang J, Zhang Z. Recent Development of Conductive Hydrogels for Tissue Engineering: Review and Perspective. Adv Healthc Mater. 2022; *22*(8): 2200051.

Griffith LG, Naughton G. Tissue engineering--current challenges and expanding opportunities. Science. 2002;296(5577):1994-5.

Hoffman AS. Hydrogels for biomedical applications. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2002 15;43(1):3-12.

Hollister SJ. Porous scaffold design for tissue engineering. Nat Mater. 2005;4(7):518-24.

Hu, X., Wang, T., Li, F., & Mao, X. Surface modifications of biomaterials in different applied fields. *RSC advances*. 2023; *13*(30): 20495-20511

Hutmacher DW. Scaffolds in tissue engineering bone and cartilage. Biomaterials. 2000;21(21):2529-43.

Johnston A, Callanan A. Recent methods for modifying mechanical properties of tissue-engineered scaffolds for clinical applications. *Biomimetics*. 2023; *8*(2): 205.

Karageorgiou V, Kaplan D. Porosity of 3D biomaterial scaffolds and osteogenesis. Biomaterials. 2005;26(27):5474-91.

Khademhosseini A, Langer R. Micro-engineered hydrogels for tissue engineering. Biomaterials. 2007;28(34):5087-92.

Kim J, Park K, Lee Y, Choi J. Challenges in achieving successful integration of scaffolds with host tissues. Tissue Eng Part B Rev. 2023; *9*(9): 511.

Langer R, Vacanti JP. Tissue engineering. Science. 1993;260(5106):920-6.

Lee KY, Mooney DJ. Hydrogels for tissue engineering. Chem Rev. 2001;101(7):1869-79.

Liu T, Wang Y, Kuang T. Oriented Porous Polymer Scaffolds in Tissue Engineering: A Comprehensive Review of Preparation Strategies and Applications. *Macromol. Mater. Eng.* 2023; 309: 2300246.

Lutolf MP, Hubbell JA. Synthetic biomaterials as instructive extracellular microenvironments for morphogenesis in tissue engineering. Nat Biotechnol. 2005 ;23(1):47-55.

Ma PX. Biomimetic materials for tissue engineering. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2008;60(2):184-98.

O'Brien FJ. Biomaterials & scaffolds for tissue engineering. Mater Today. 2011;14(2):88-95.

Oliveira ÉR, Nie L, Podstawczyk D, Allahbakhsh A, Ratnayake J, Brasil DL, Shavandi A. Advances in growth factor delivery for bone tissue engineering. *Int J Molecular Sci*. 2021;*22*(2): 903.

Olson JL, Atala A, Yoo JJ. Tissue engineering: current strategies and future directions. Chonnam Med J. 2011;47(1):1-13.

Păltânea G, Manescu V, Antoniac I, Antoniac A, Nemoianu I. A Review of Biomimetic and Biodegradable Magnetic Scaffolds for Bone Tissue Engineering and Oncology. J Biomed Mater Res B Appl Biomater. 2023; *24*(5): 4312.

Pham QP, Sharma U, Mikos AG. Electrospinning of polymeric nanofibers for tissue engineering applications: a review. Tissue Eng. 2006;12(5):1197-211.

Place ES, Evans ND, Stevens MM. Complexity in biomaterials for tissue engineering. Nat Mater. 2009;8(6):457-70.

Qin, C., Qi, Z., Pan, S., Xia, P., Kong, W., Sun, B., Yang, X. Advances in conductive hydrogel for spinal cord injury repair and regeneration. *Int J Nanomedicine*.2023; 18: 7305-7333

Sachlos E, Czernuszka JT. Making tissue engineering scaffolds work. Review: the application of solid freeform fabrication technology to the production of tissue engineering scaffolds. Eur Cell Mater. 2003;5:29-39.

Shahini A, Yazdimamaghani M, Walker KJ, Eastman MA, Hatami-Marbini H, Smith BJ, Ricci JL, Madihally SV, Vashaee D, Tayebi L. 3D conductive nanocomposite scaffold for bone tissue engineering. Int J Nanomedicine. 2014; 9:167-81.

Soleymani Eil Bakhtiari S, Karbasi S. Keratin-containing scaffolds for tissue engineering applications: a review. Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl. 2024; *35*(6), 916-965.

Wu, C. A., Zhu, Y., & Woo, Y. J.. Advances in 3D Bioprinting: Techniques, Applications, and Future Directions for Cardiac Tissue Engineering. *Bioengin (Basel, Switzerland)*. 2023; *10*(7): 842-842.

Yang Y, Zhang M, Wang Y, Yu C. Advances in biodegradable polymers for bone tissue engineering. J Biomed Mater Res A. 2024; 529: 01051

Yang, C., Chen, R., Chen, C. *et al.* Tissue engineering strategies hold promise for the repair of articular cartilage injury. *BioMed Eng OnLine* . 2024; 23: 92.

Yuan, X., Zhu, W., Yang, Z., He, N., Chen, F., Han, X., & Zhou, K. Recent advances in 3D printing of smart scaffolds for bone tissue engineering and regeneration. *Adv Mat*. 2024; *36*(34): 2403641.

Zhang Y, Xu Y, Huang Y, Chen Y, Wang Y. 3D Printing of Polymeric Scaffolds for Bone Regeneration: A Review. Polymers (Basel). 2023; *13*(3): 551.

Zhao B, Peng Q, Zhou R, Liu H, Qi S, Wang R. Precision Medicine in Tissue Engineering on Bone. Methods Mol Biol. 2020; 2204:207-215..

Zhu W, Zhang Y, Chen C, Wang Z. Bioprinting technologies in tissue engineering: A review. Biomater Sci. 2024; *8*(1), 94.

Zoghi S. Advancements in Tissue Engineering: A Review of Bioprinting Techniques, Scaffolds, and Bioinks. Biomater Sci. 2024; *15*: 11795972241288099.